

female flowers and fruit.

By the by, I found your last letter not at all illegible, but very interesting and full of that friendly and delicate feeling, which gives with a particular charm to correspond with you, my dear friend. My handwriting is so bad, that, if I did not know your initials, I should have constant reason to excuse myself, and even more on the hasty manner of my writing letters.

Affectionately as ever yours
R. Schickel.

Göttingen, 15 June, 1865.

My dear Dr. Asa Gray,

A German gentleman, resident ⁱⁿ Cassanua, who from necessity with not be named, has sent to me sufficient money to publish here the catalogue of the Cuba plants. His only motive was interest for his country and a little for botany. I stood in no connection with him and he offered his services by letter. Thus I need not trouble you about the Smithsonian institution, and the beginning of sending my manuscripts to press depends only from having it sufficiently prepared. Then I shall send to you the single sheets as they come forward. - I have received your favour of May 18, and am

glad of receiving Engelmann's notes
on the Cuba pines. I beg you now
to furnish from him his notes on
Wright's larch, if possible: I
should feel hard the difficulty of
studying them, if he has taken
notice of them, as I suppose.

of numbers not found in my
set I mention the following;
perhaps you may furnish some
detached parts by letter, or at least
give me your opinion about them.

no. 1844. *Illicium*. Is it perhaps
the same with the former, no. 3.

- 1863. *Cedrela*: this with certainty
be the species mentioned in my *Flora*.

- 1865. *Uromela*.

- 1877. *Lunania racemosa*
fide Wright: of this a leaf and flower
would be desirable.

A very remarkable plant, but

unhappily only it, is lost of the
provisional numbers, not occurring in
the new catalogue. I think it nearly
related to *Yanera*, and in some
respects one might believe it to be
B. Samiguensis Griseb. (Journ. Lin.
Soc. 5. Suppl. 2. p. 94.): but the
materials to Griseb's description would
have been much worse than it to be
supposed, if he had not seen the Pan-
zian scales on the base of the
petals and the reticulated number of
stamens. Thus and for the dioecious
flowers it will not be his plant, and
is, I doubt not, quite a new genus,
connecting *Yanera* with *Pauciflorus*.
If you write to Mr. Wright,
I beg to turn his particular
attention to this plant, whether
it would not be possible to get